

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/663,306	09/15/2000	Zhong Zhong	ORT1296	2391	
7	10/23/2003		EXAM	INER	
Ralph Palo			CARLSON.	CARLSON, KAREN C	
	nd Johnson Plaza		ART UNIT T	PAPER NUMBER	
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003				FAFER NOMBER	

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/663,306	ZHONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653		

Part of Paper No 20031016

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

		PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
		The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)		The pend for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ee ha ee un 2) as	xten: ve be der 3 set f	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ben filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or office in the first original forms of the first original forms of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or office in the first original forms of the first original forms or the first original forms of the first original forms or may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.🛛		Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	Th	e proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(;	a) 🗵	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(1	o) [	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(0	c) 🗵	I they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(0	d) [	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
		NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
		plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
1.[]		wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment nceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🖾		e a) [] affidavit, b) [] exhibit, or c) [2] request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the plication in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
6.		e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly sed by the Examiner in the final rejection.
'.⊠		r purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)  will be entered and an planation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	Th	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	CI	aim(s) allowed:
	CI	aim(s) objected to:
	CI	aim(s) rejected: <u>82-85</u> .
	CI	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
s. 🖂	Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	No	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
0.[	Ot	her:

**Advisory Action** 

Continuation of 2, NOTE: Applicants have changed their invention from a transcription factor regulator to a compound that modulates the response of an extracellular ligand.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is no nexus in Claim 82, for example, to a compound that modulates the response of an extracellular ligand and the compound that activates a protease. Regarding the rejection of the claims under 102(b) as being anticipated by Mazer et al., Applicants have re-iterated their previous response. The ingestion of calcium provides calcium throughout the body, whether it is derived from milk and the like or calcium supplements. Thus, the claimed compound can be calcium because it is able to stimulate the activity of an intracellular protease.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

L'une Ca have Carpon Pro